

# Lecture 11. Risk measures

Enkelejd Hashorva & Pavel Ievlev  
Université de Lausanne

1 December, 2025

# Learning objectives

- Introduce risk measures informally and formally
- Discuss standard examples like VaR, TVaR/ES, distortion risk measures

# Risk measures

“Definition” 1 (Risk measure).

*A risk measure is a function  $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that assigns a real number to a random variable  $X$  interpreted as a loss to quantify how risky it is.*

The quotation marks around the word “Definition” are there to indicate that the definition is not a formal one, but rather a **conceptual** one. So far it’s not suitable for a mathematical treatment, we need to formalize what we mean by “to quantify how risky it is”.

One natural requirement is that a risk measure should be **monotone**

$$X \leq Y \implies \rho(X) \leq \rho(Y),$$

but what else?

# Coherent risk measures

One possible formalization of the concept of risk measure is via **coherent risk measures**. A risk measure  $\rho$  is called coherent if it satisfies the following properties for all random variables  $X, Y$  and  $a > 0$ :

- **Monotonicity:** If  $X \leq Y$ , then  $\rho(X) \leq \rho(Y)$  (the risk of a larger loss is larger)
- **Subadditivity:**  $\rho(X + Y) \leq \rho(X) + \rho(Y)$  (diversification is beneficial)
- **Positive homogeneity:**  $\rho(aX) = a\rho(X)$  for all  $a \geq 0$ . (no liquidity effects)
- **Translation invariance:**  $\rho(X + b) = \rho(X) + b$  for all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  (adding a sure loss increases the risk by that amount)

**Interpretation**<sup>1</sup>: define acceptance set  $A_\rho = \{X : \rho(X) \leq 0\}$ . If  $\rho$  is coherent, then

$$\rho(X) = \inf\{m \in \mathbb{R} : X + m \in A_\rho\} = \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{minimal cash } m \text{ you must add} \\ \text{to make the position acceptable} \end{array} \right).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>There's also another interpretation ( $\rho$  as worst expected loss under plausible scenarios)

# Value-at-Risk (VaR)

## Definition 1 (Value-at-Risk).

For a given confidence level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the Value-at-Risk (VaR) of a random variable  $X$  is defined as

$$\text{VaR}_X(p) = F^{-1}(p).$$

Alternatively,

$$\text{VaR}_X(p) = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \{S_X(p, y)\},$$

where  $S_x(p, y)$  is the so-called pinball function

$$S_x(p, y) = p(x - y)^+ + (1 - p)(y - x)^+.$$

# VaR and monotone transformations

## Theorem 2.

*If  $g$  is non-decreasing, then  $\text{VaR}_{g(X)}(p) = g(\text{VaR}_X(p))$ .*

**Important example:** If  $a > 0$ , then  $\text{VaR}_{aX+b}(p) = a \text{VaR}_X(p) + b$ .

Hence, VaR is **positively homogeneous, translation-invariant** and **monotone**, but it is not **subadditive**! Therefore, VaR is **not** a coherent risk measure.

# Tail Value-at-Risk and Expected shortfall

Let  $X$  be a random variable with finite expectation and  $p \in (0, 1)$ .

**Definition 3 (Tail Value-at-Risk).**

$$\text{TVaR}_X(p) = \mathbb{E} \{X \mid X \geq \text{VaR}_X(p)\}.$$

**Definition 4 (Expected shortfall).**

$$\text{ES}_X(p) = \frac{1}{1-p} \int_p^1 \text{VaR}_X(s) ds.$$

If  $X$  is atomless (i.e., its df  $F$  is continuous), the two are **equal**.

## Example: exponential distribution

Let  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Then

$$\text{VaR}_X(p) = -\frac{\ln(1-p)}{\lambda}$$

and we have

$$\text{TVaR}_X(p) = \frac{1}{1-p} \int_p^1 -\frac{\ln(1-s)}{\lambda} ds = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{\ln(1-p)}{\lambda} = \mathbb{E}\{X\} + \text{VaR}_X(p).$$

# VaR vs TVaR

- Pick the worst  $1 - p$  outcomes. Then TVaR/ES is the **mean** of their losses, while VaR is the **smallest loss** in that set.
- Hence, TVaR/ES takes into account the **severity** of losses in the tail, while VaR does not.

# Distortion risk measures

**Definition 5 (Distortion risk measure).**

Given  $X \sim F$  and a distribution function  $G$  such that<sup>2</sup>  $0 \leq \alpha_G < \omega_G = 1$ , the distortion risk measure is defined as

$$D_X(G) = \int_0^\infty G(\bar{F}(x)) dx.$$

**Theorem 6.**

*Distortion risk measures are coherent<sup>3</sup> if and only if  $G$  is concave.*

---

<sup>2</sup>Recall that  $\alpha_G$  and  $\omega_G$  are the lower and upper endpoints of  $F$

<sup>3</sup>The only thing requiring proof is that  $D_X(G)$  is subadditive

## Examples of distortion risk measures

- VaR is a distortion risk measure with  $G(x) = \mathbb{1}\{1 - p \leq x \leq 1\}$ . Since  $G$  is not concave, VaR is not coherent, as we know.
- TVaR is a distortion risk measure with  $G(x) = \min\{1, x/(1 - p)\}$ . Since  $G$  is concave, TVaR is coherent!

# Economic Capital

**Definition 7 (Economic Capital).**

The economic capital associated to a risk measure  $\rho$  is defined as

$$\text{EC}_X = \rho(X) - \mathbb{E}\{X\},$$

where  $\rho$  is a risk measure.

For example, if  $\rho = \text{TVaR}$ , then

$$\text{EC}_X(p) = \text{TVaR}_X(p) - \mathbb{E}\{X\}.$$

**Interpretation:** if  $p = 0.9998$ , then  $\text{EC}_X(p)$  represents the capital that a financial institution needs to hold to be able to cover 9998 losses out of 10000.

# Multivariate risk measures

Multivariate extensions of VaR and TVaR exist, but they are significantly more complicated than in the univariate case. For example,

**Definition 8 (Multivariate conditional tail expectation (MCTE)).**

Given  $(X_1, X_2)$  with finite expectation, define

$$\text{MCTE}_1(p) = \mathbb{E} \{X_1 \mid X_1 > \text{VaR}_{X_1}(p), X_2 > \text{VaR}_{X_2}(p)\},$$

$$\text{MCTE}_2(p) = \mathbb{E} \{X_2 \mid X_1 > \text{VaR}_{X_1}(p), X_2 > \text{VaR}_{X_2}(p)\}.$$

The drawback is that explicit formulas are rarely available, even for simple models.

# Marginal expected shortfall (MES)

**Definition 9 (Marginal expected shortfall).**

Given  $(X_1, X_2)$  with finite expectations, define

$$\text{MES}_1(p) = \mathbb{E} \{ X_1 \mid X_2 > \text{VaR}_{X_2}(p) \},$$

and similarly for  $\text{MES}_2(p)$ .

**Example:** if  $(X_1, X_2)$  is bivariate normal with  $N(0, 1)$  marginals and  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ , then

$$\text{MES}_1(p) = \frac{\rho \varphi(\Phi^{-1}(p))}{1 - p},$$

where  $\varphi$  and  $\Phi$  are the pdf and cdf of the standard normal distribution, respectively.

# Questions/exercises

- Is mean-variance risk measure  $\rho(X) = \mathbb{E}\{X\} + \lambda \text{Var}\{X\}$  coherent for  $\lambda > 0$ ?
- What kind of “bad news” can VaR systematically ignore?
- Distortion risk measures **reweight tail probabilities**. What does “concave distortion” mean behaviorally (attitude toward tail events)? What would a convex distortion represent?
- If two portfolios have the same VaR at level  $p$  but different ES at level  $p$ , what must be different about their loss distributions?