

# Lecture 5. Copulas and marginals

Enkelejd Hashorva & Pavel Ievlev  
Université de Lausanne

13 October, 2025

# Learning objectives

- Understand how a df can be decomposed into its marginals and a copula
- ...and how to construct a df using marginals and a copula
- Discuss Sklar's theorem, which ensures that such a decomposition is unique
- Study the invariance properties of copulas

# Quantile function

**Definition 1 (Quantile function).**

The **qf**<sup>1</sup> of a df  $F$  is defined as

$$F^{-1}(u) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) \geq u\}, \quad u \in (0, 1).$$

The most important property<sup>2</sup> of the quantile function is the following:

$$F^{-1}(q) \leq x \iff q \leq F(x).$$

Another important property is that the qf  $F^{-1}(q)$  is **left-continuous** and **non-decreasing** (follows directly from right-continuity and monotonicity of  $F$ ).

---

<sup>1</sup>qf = quantile function

<sup>2</sup>or an equivalent definition

# How to transform a rv into a uniform?

Recall that in the first lecture we stated the following result:

$$F(X) \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1).$$

The proof was based on the following chain of equalities:

$$\mathbb{P}\{F(X) \leq u\} = \mathbb{P}\{X \leq F^{-1}(u)\} = F(F^{-1}(u)) = \neq u.$$

**Question:** where does this proof requires  $F$  to be **continuous**?

**Answer:** the equality  $F(F^{-1}(u)) = u$  may **fail** if  $F$  is not continuous.

**Important remark:**  $F^{-1}(U) \sim X$  if  $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  holds **regardless** of whether  $F$  is continuous or not.

## How to transform a rv into a uniform? (Contd.)

Since  $F(X)$  is not necessarily  $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$  if  $F$  is not continuous, is there still a way to transform  $X$  into a uniform rv?

**Answer:** yes, there is! Let  $V \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  be independent of  $X \sim F$  and define

$$D_{V,F}(X) = F(X-) + \left( F(X) - F(X-) \right) V.$$

**Theorem 2 (Transformation to uniform<sup>3</sup>).**

*The random variable  $D_{V,F}(X)$  defined above is  $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$ .*

---

<sup>3</sup>Without proof

# Rosenblatt transform

Similarly to how the Smirnov transform **removes marginal information**, the **Rosenblatt transform** removes **dependence**. Let  $(X, Y) \sim F$  and denote by  $F_{2|1}(\cdot | x)$  the df of the **conditional** random variable  $(Y | X = x)$ .

**Definition 3 (Rosenblatt transform).**

Generate  $V_1, V_2 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  independently of  $(X, Y)$  and of each other. The **Rosenblatt transform** of  $(X, Y)$  is a vector  $(U_1, U_2)$  defined as

$$(U_1, U_2) = (D_{V_1, F_1}(X), D_{V_2, F_{2|1}(\cdot | X)}(Y)).$$

If  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are continuous, the Rosenblatt transform simplifies to

$$(U_1, U_2) = (F_1(X), F_{2|1}(Y | X)).$$

## Rosenblatt transform (Contd.)

The Rosenblatt transform has the following important property:

**Theorem 4 (Rosenblatt transform to uniform<sup>4</sup>).**

*The random vector  $(U_1, U_2)$  defined above is such that  $U_1, U_2 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  and are **independent**.*

---

<sup>4</sup>Without proof

# How to simulate from a bivariate copula?

Let  $C$  be a bivariate copula and  $(U_1, U_2) \sim C$ . How to simulate from  $C$ ?

**Answer:** use the Rosenblatt transform **in reverse**:

- Simulate  $U_1, V_2 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  independently.
- Set  $U_2 = C_{2|1}^{-1}(V_2 \mid U_1)$ .
- Return  $(U_1, U_2)$ .

The conditional df  $C_{2|1}(\cdot \mid u_1)$  is given by<sup>5</sup>

$$C_{2|1}(u_2 \mid u_1) = \mathbb{P}\{U_2 \leq v \mid U_1 = u_1\} = \frac{\partial C(u_1, u_2)}{\partial u_1}.$$

---

<sup>5</sup>See exercise sessions for the proof.

## Copula + marginals $\rightarrow$ joint df

Let  $C$  be a copula and  $F_1, F_2$  be two dfs. Define a function  $F$  by

$$F(x_1, x_2) = C(F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2)).$$

This is a **valid df** with marginals  $F_1, F_2$  and copula  $C$ .

One way to see this is to take  $(U_1, U_2) \sim C$  and set

$$X_1 = F_1^{-1}(U_1), \quad X_2 = F_2^{-1}(U_2)$$

and prove that  $(X_1, X_2) \sim F$ . Then,  $F$  is a df with marginals  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  *by construction*.

## Sklar's theorem

**Theorem 5 (Sklar's theorem).**

Let  $F$  be a df with marginals  $F_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then, there exists a copula  $C : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = C(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d)).$$

If all marginals  $F_i$  are continuous, then  $C$  is **unique** and is given by

$$C(\mathbf{u}) = F(F_1^{-1}(u_1), \dots, F_d^{-1}(u_d)).$$

## Example: Gaussian copula

Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi^{-1}$  denote the df and qf of  $N(0, 1)$  and let  $\Phi_2$  denote the df of  $N_2(\mu, \Sigma)$  with any  $\mu$  and correlation  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ .

### Definition 6 (Gaussian copula).

The **Gaussian copula** with parameter  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$  is defined as

$$C_\rho(u_1, u_2) = \Phi_2(\Phi^{-1}(u_1), \Phi^{-1}(u_2)).$$

By plugging  $\Phi_2$  and  $\Phi^{-1}$  into the above formula, we obtain

$$C_\rho(u_1, u_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\Phi^{-1}(u_1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\Phi^{-1}(u_2)} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2 - 2\rho st + t^2}{2(1-\rho^2)}\right) dt ds.$$

## Remarks about the Gaussian copula

Although  $\Phi_2$  depends on  $\mu$  and the variances of  $\Sigma$  (so,  $2 + 3 = 5$  parameters), the copula  $C_\rho$  only depends on the correlation  $\rho$  (1 parameter).

Similarly, the  $d$ -dimensional Gaussian copula

$$C(\mathbf{u}) = \Phi_d(\Phi^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \Phi^{-1}(u_d))$$

only depends on the  $d(d - 1)/2$  correlation parameters, while the  $d$ -variate normal distribution depends on  $d$  means and  $d(d + 1)/2$  variance and covariance parameters (a total of  $d(d + 3)/2$  parameters).

## pdf of $F \rightarrow$ pdfs of $C$ and $F_i$

**Theorem 7 (Pdf of a df in terms of its copula and marginals).**

*If  $F$  has a pdf  $f$ , then so do the marginals  $F_i$ , as well as its copula  $C$ . The pdf of  $F$  can be expressed as*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = c(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d)) \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i),$$

**Proof.** By chain rule,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial^d F(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_d} = c(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d)) \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i). \quad \square$$

## Pdfs of $F_i$ and $C \rightarrow$ pdf of $F$

Conversely, if  $F_i$  have pdfs  $f_i$  and  $C$  has a pdf  $c$ , then the function  $F$  defined by

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = C(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d))$$

has a pdf  $f$  given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = c(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d)) \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i).$$

# Survival copula

**Definition 8 (Survival copula).**

Let  $C$  be a copula and  $(U_1, U_2) \sim C$ . Then the df of  $(1 - U_1, 1 - U_2)$  denoted by  $Q$  is called the **survival copula** of  $C$ .

**Important remark:** as any df,  $C$  has a *survival function*  $\bar{C}$ . The survival **copula**  $Q$  is **not** that survival function:  $Q \neq \bar{C}$ !

The survival copula  $Q$  is still a copula, whereas  $\bar{C}$  is not, because it's not even a df.

# Transformation invariance

The following theorem is a **very powerful** result about copulas:

## Theorem 9 (Transformation invariance<sup>6</sup>).

Let  $(X_1, X_2) \sim F$  with marginals  $F_1, F_2$  and copula  $C$ , let  $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a **continuous** function, and let  $(U_1, U_2) \sim C$ .

- If both components  $g_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are **increasing**, then the copula of  $(g_1(X_1), g_2(X_2))$  is also  $C$ .
- If one component  $g_i$  is **increasing** and the other  $g_j$ ,  $j \neq i$  is **decreasing**, then the copula of  $(g_1(X_1), g_2(X_2))$  is the copula of  $(U_1, 1 - U_2)$ .
- If both components  $g_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are **decreasing**, then the copula of  $(g_1(X_1), g_2(X_2))$  is the copula of  $(1 - U_1, 1 - U_2)$ , that is, the survival copula of  $C$ .

If the monotonicity of  $\mathbf{g}$  is **strict**, the same results holds without continuity.

---

<sup>6</sup>Without proof

# Questions/exercises

- How can we simulate a Gaussian random vector?
- Find a formula for the survival copula  $Q$  of  $C$  in terms of  $C$ .

*Hint:* rewrite  $Q$  as a survival *function* and use  $\bar{F} = \bar{F}_1 + \bar{F}_2 + F - 1$ .